

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMME United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/630,378	630,378 07/30/2003		Gianfranco D'Amato	GKS 397	8950
23474	7590	09/22/2004		EXAM	INER
FLYNN T	HIEL BO	UTELL & TANIS,	MEREK, JOSEPH C		
2026 RAMI	BLING RC)AD			
KALAMAZOO, MI 49008-1699				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3727	

DATE MAILED: 09/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/630,378	D'AMATO, GIANFRANCO				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Joseph C. Merek	3727				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	. 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. Ithe mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	,					
	is action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5)□ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	·	•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/29/03. 		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/630,378

Art Unit: 3727

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Regarding claim 1, "preferably fluid tight" renders the claim indefinite as it is not clear if the material must be fluid tight or not. The remaining claims are included since they stem from rejected claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Amberg (US 2,240,599) in view of Bowers et al (US 4,813,362). Regarding claim 1, as it is best understood, Amberg teaches a liquid tight container with a bent opening edge made from a blank where the blank is made of transparent material but does not teach the at least two layers or the heat sealing. Bowers et al teaches a similar container made from a blank of three layers and heat sealed along the overlap. It would have been obvious to employ the multiple layers and heat sealing of Bowers et al in the container of Amberg to make a stronger container. Regarding claim 2-4, see the Figs. of Amberg where the structure is shown. Regarding claim 5, it would have been

obvious to make all the three layers of the modified container of Amberg transparent to allow the user to se the contents. Regarding claim 6, the inner layer of the modified container of Amberg satisfies the limitation. The limitation does not require any structure that is not in the combination of references. Regarding claim 9, the sealed edges will be fluid tight. Regarding claim 20, the process steps do not require any structure that is not in the combination of references. Regarding claim 15, the layers are ultra sonic absorbent. Regarding claim 27, the angle is more than 90 degrees since the edge is rolled. Regarding claim 32, any of the layer will perform a heat insulating function. Regarding claim 33, see Amberg where the container is formed from a blank. Regarding claims 7, 10-14, 17, 18, 23, 24, 25, 26, official notice is taken that the claimed structures are all well known in the container arts. To employ any of these features would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the container with more utility.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Gammeter and McGirr et al are both cited for teaching conical containers.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph C. Merek whose telephone number is (703) 305-0644. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

Art Unit: 3727

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lee Young can be reached on (703) 308-2572. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Joséph C. Merek Patent Examiner September 17, 2004